ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA653069 01/29/2015

Filing date:

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	92058054
Party	Defendant GMA Accessories, Inc.
Correspondence Address	CARISSA M PEEBLES BOSTANY LAW FIRM PLLC 40 WALL STREET, 28TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10005 UNITED STATES mail@bozlaw.com, c.peebles@bozlaw.com
Submission	Opposition/Response to Motion
Filer's Name	John P. Bostany
Filer's e-mail	john@bozlaw.com
Signature	/JPB/
Date	01/29/2015
Attachments	Declaration.1 29 15.pdf(15508 bytes ) Cert.of.Service.1.29.15.pdf(10581 bytes )

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD .....X MORRIS VISITOR PULICATIONS, LLC,

Petitioner,

## DECLARATION

ncellation No.: 92058054

John P. Bostany, hereby declares, under penalty of perjury pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, as follows:

- I am a Member of The Bostany Law Firm PLLC attorneys for the Respondent in this cancellation proceeding.
  - 2. I do not oppose the Petitioner's request to dismiss with prejudice.
- 3. Respondent disagrees with the Petitioner's self-serving statement in its motion i.e. the unilateral determination that there is no confusion. This statement is completely unnecessary to the motion and therefore does not effect the request therein that the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board dismiss with prejudice.
- 3. It is worth noting that United States Patent and Trademark examining attorney Kristin Carlson confirmed a prior refusal to issue a registration for the Petitioner's trademark CHARLOTTE WEDDING pursuant to § 2(d) of the Trademark Act (15.U.S.C. § 1052(d)) due to confusion between the applied for mark CHARLOTTE WEDDING and the Respondent's registered trademark CHARLOTTE. Prosecution History, Application # 85664120.
- 4. It is respectfully submitted that the trademark examiner was correct in the determination of likelihood of confusion.

5. The instant Petition for Cancellation followed this refusal and was designed to

remove the obstacle to Petitioner's application, without regard to the merit of the Petition itself.

Indeed, the Petition was based on numerous frivolous arguments including but not 6.

limited to that the United States Patent and Trademark Office somehow improperly granted the

Respondent's Registration.

7. During this proceeding, Petitioner was supplied with abundant proof of use of the

trademark CHARLOTTE by Respondent and based upon this discovery, Petitioner recognized

that its accusations of non-use were frivolous and that the Petition would be dismissed.

8. Respondent does not oppose the Petitioner's Motion to Dismiss with prejudice.

Dated: New York, New York

January 29, 2015

THE BOSTANY LAW FIRM PLLC

s/John P. Bostany

By: John P. Bostany

Attorneys for Respondent 40 Wall Street, 28th floor

New York, New York 10005

(212) 530-4400

2

## **Certificate of Service**

I, Meghan K. Sullivan, hereby certify that the Declaration is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on January 29, 2015, postage pre-paid, addressed to the following:

David E. Hudson, Esq. Hull Barrett, PC Post Office Box 1564 Augusta Georgia, 30903

> 3y: // Wallivan Meshan K. Sullivan